GRANT SUMMARY SHEET

Grant Name: DWR-ARP Non Collaborative State Water Infrastructure Grants

(SWIG) 21-26 Amend 1

Department: WATER & SEWER

Grantor: U.S.DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Pass-Through Grantor

(If applicable): TENN. DEPT. OF ENV. & CONS.

Total Award this Action: \$0.00

Cash Match Amount -\$71,877,703.08

Department Contact: Aaron Thomas

4878872

Status: AMENDMENT

Program Description:

Major upgrades at the Dry Creek Water Reclamation Facility includes construction of a new headworks, other residuals management improvements, odor control improvements, and electrical improvements. This modernization will ultimately increase capacity for these areas to handle flow for the projected 20 years and refurbish a critical facility that is over 50 years in age. This project meets the critical infrastructure requirements of the SWIG grant. (Please see PER for engineering details). Amend 1 reduces the match by (-\$71,877,703.08) for a new match of \$29,200,052.09,Re-budget of project between budget line items not to exceed 20% and scope change for project.

Plan for continuation of services upon grant expiration:

Once completed in 2026, operating costs and long term capital maintence costs will be impacted. It is anticipated that operating costs will increase, but at a level, predictable value.

Grants Tracking Form

Pre-Application O	Applica况on		Award Acceptance	O Contract A	Amendment [®]			
Department	Dept. No.			Contact		Phone Fax		
WATER & SEWER ▼	065	Aaron Thomas				4878872		
Grant Name:	DWR-ARP Non	Collaborative Sta	ate Water Infrastructure	e Grants (SWIG) 21-26 Ame	end 1			
Grantor:	U.S.DEPARTMENT OF	THE TREASURY		▼ Oth	her:			
Grant Period From:	03/03/21		(applications only) Anticipat	ed Application Date:				
Grant Period To:	09/30/26		(applications only) Application	on Deadline:				
Funding Type:	FED PASS THRU	_		Multi-Department Grant		If yes, list below.		
Pass-Thru:	TENN. DEPT. OF ENV.	& CONS. ▼		Outside Consultant Proje	ect:			
Award Type:	FORMULA	•		Total Award:	\$0.00			
Status:	AMENDMENT	▼		Metro Cash Match:	-\$71,877,703.08			
Metro Category:	Est. Prior.	~		Metro In-Kind Match:	\$0.00			
CFDA#	21.027			Is Council approval requ	ired?			
Project Description:				Applic. Submitted Electronical	lly?			
Major upgrades at the Dry Cree	ek Water Reclam	ation Facility inclu	udes construction of a	new headworks, other resid	duals management improve	ments, odor control		
improvements, and electrical in	nprovements. Thi	is modernization	will ultimately increase	capacity for these areas to	handle flow for the projecte	ed 20 years and refurbish a		
critical facility that is over 50 ye	ears in age. This բ	project meets the	critical infrastructure re	equirements of the SWIG g	rant. (Please see PER for e	engineering details). Amend 1		
reduces the match by (-\$71,8	77,703.08) for a	new match of \$2	.9,200,052.09,Re-bud	get of project between bu	dget line items not to exce	eed 20% and scope change		
for project.								
Plan for continuation of serv	rice after expirat	ion of grant/Bud	getary Impact:					
Once completed in 2026, opera	ating costs and lo	ng term capital m	naintence costs will be	impacted. It is anticipated	that operating costs will inc	rease, but at a level,		
predictable value.								
How is Match Determined?								
How is Match Determined?	_							
How is Match Determined? Fixed Amount of \$		or	25.0%	% of Grant	Other:			
	ns of determinir		25.0%	% of Grant	Other √			
Fixed Amount of \$ Explanation for "Other" mea This is a direct allocation grant		ng match:				the full project cost will be		
Fixed Amount of \$ Explanation for "Other" mea		ng match:				the full project cost will be		
Fixed Amount of \$ Explanation for "Other" mea This is a direct allocation grant 164,496,000.	. The grant requir	ng match: res a cofunding a	mount of 25% or 15,85			the full project cost will be		
Fixed Amount of \$ Explanation for "Other" mea This is a direct allocation grant 164,496,000. For this Metro FY, how much	. The grant requir	ng match: res a cofunding a	mount of 25% or 15,85	4,562. However, Project co	osts exceed 85,223,192, so	the full project cost will be		
Fixed Amount of \$ Explanation for "Other" mea This is a direct allocation grant 164,496,000. For this Metro FY, how much Is already in department bud	. The grant requir	ng match: res a cofunding a	mount of 25% or 15,85	4,562. However, Project co	osts exceed 85,223,192, so 47410 Business Unit	6549104		
Fixed Amount of \$ Explanation for "Other" mea This is a direct allocation grant 164,496,000. For this Metro FY, how much ls already in department bud Is not budgeted?	The grant requirn of the required lget?	ing match: res a cofunding an local Metro cas	mount of 25% or 15,85 h match: yes	4,562. However, Project co	osts exceed 85,223,192, so 47410 Business Unit			
Fixed Amount of \$ Explanation for "Other" mea This is a direct allocation grant 164,496,000. For this Metro FY, how much Is already in department bud Is not budgeted? (Indicate Match Amount & So	The grant requirn of the required lget?	ing match: res a cofunding an local Metro cas	mount of 25% or 15,85 h match: yes	4,562. However, Project co	osts exceed 85,223,192, so 47410 Business Unit	6549104		
Fixed Amount of \$ Explanation for "Other" mea This is a direct allocation grant 164,496,000. For this Metro FY, how much a laready in department bud is not budgeted? (Indicate Match Amount & So Other:	The grant required of the required liget?	ing match: res a cofunding an local Metro cas	mount of 25% or 15,85 h match: yes	4,562. However, Project co	sts exceed 85,223,192, so 47410 Business Unit urce of Match:	6549104 Commercial Paper Proceeds		
Fixed Amount of \$ Explanation for "Other" mea This is a direct allocation grant 164,496,000. For this Metro FY, how much Is already in department bud Is not budgeted? (Indicate Match Amount & So Other: Number of FTEs the grant w	The grant required of the required liget? For required for remaining the remaining th	ing match: res a cofunding an local Metro cas	mount of 25% or 15,85 h match: yes in Budget Below)	4,562. However, Project co	asts exceed 85,223,192, so 47410 Business Unit urce of Match:	6549104 Commercial Paper Proceeds 0.00		
Fixed Amount of \$ Explanation for "Other" mea This is a direct allocation grant 164,496,000. For this Metro FY, how much is already in department bud is not budgeted? (Indicate Match Amount & So Other: Number of FTEs the grant w Departmental Indirect Cost F	The grant required of the required liget? For required for remaining the remaining th	local Metro cas	mount of 25% or 15,85 h match: yes in Budget Below) 0.00 19.10%	Fund Proposed Sou Actual number of positio	asts exceed 85,223,192, so 47410 Business Unit urce of Match: ons added: Metro:	6549104 Commercial Paper Proceeds 0.00 \$17,690,094.71		
Fixed Amount of \$ Explanation for "Other" mea This is a direct allocation grant 164,496,000. For this Metro FY, how much Is already in department bud Is not budgeted? (Indicate Match Amount & So Other: Number of FTEs the grant w Departmental Indirect Cost F *Indirect Costs allowed?	The grant required of the required liget? Burce for Remain lill fund: Rate → Yes● No	Ing match: res a cofunding and local Metro case ring Grant Years % Allow.	mount of 25% or 15,85 h match:	Fund Proposed Sou Actual number of positio Indirect Cost of Grant to Ind. Cost Requested from	asts exceed 85,223,192, so 47410 Business Unit urce of Match: ons added: Metro:	6549104 Commercial Paper Proceeds 0.00		
Fixed Amount of \$ Explanation for "Other" mea This is a direct allocation grant 164,496,000. For this Metro FY, how much Is already in department bud Is not budgeted? (Indicate Match Amount & So Other: Number of FTEs the grant w Departmental Indirect Cost F*Indirect Costs allowed? *(If "No", please attach docume	The grant required of the required liget? Burce for Remain lill fund: Rate → Yes● No	Ing match: res a cofunding and local Metro case ring Grant Years % Allow.	mount of 25% or 15,85 h match:	Fund Proposed Sou Actual number of positio Indirect Cost of Grant to Ind. Cost Requested from	asts exceed 85,223,192, so 47410 Business Unit urce of Match: ons added: Metro:	6549104 Commercial Paper Proceeds 0.00 \$17,690,094.71		
Fixed Amount of \$ Explanation for "Other" mea This is a direct allocation grant 164,496,000. For this Metro FY, how much is already in department bud is not budgeted? (Indicate Match Amount & So Other: Number of FTEs the grant w Departmental Indirect Cost F*Indirect Costs allowed? *(If "No", please attach docume Draw down allowable	The grant required of the req	Ing match: res a cofunding and local Metro case ring Grant Years % Allow.	mount of 25% or 15,85 h match:	Fund Proposed Sou Actual number of positio Indirect Cost of Grant to Ind. Cost Requested from	asts exceed 85,223,192, so 47410 Business Unit urce of Match: ons added: Metro:	6549104 Commercial Paper Proceeds 0.00 \$17,690,094.71		
Fixed Amount of \$ Explanation for "Other" mea This is a direct allocation grant 164,496,000. For this Metro FY, how much Is already in department bud Is not budgeted? (Indicate Match Amount & So Other: Number of FTEs the grant w Departmental Indirect Cost F*Indirect Costs allowed? *(If "No", please attach docume	The grant required of the req	Ing match: res a cofunding and local Metro case ring Grant Years % Allow.	mount of 25% or 15,85 h match:	Fund Proposed Sou Actual number of positio Indirect Cost of Grant to Ind. Cost Requested from	asts exceed 85,223,192, so 47410 Business Unit urce of Match: ons added: Metro:	6549104 Commercial Paper Proceeds 0.00 \$17,690,094.71		
Fixed Amount of \$ Explanation for "Other" mea This is a direct allocation grant 164,496,000. For this Metro FY, how much is already in department bud is not budgeted? (Indicate Match Amount & So Other: Number of FTEs the grant w Departmental Indirect Cost F*Indirect Costs allowed? *(If "No", please attach docume Draw down allowable	The grant required of the req	Ing match: res a cofunding and local Metro case ring Grant Years % Allow.	mount of 25% or 15,85 h match:	Fund Proposed Sou Actual number of positio Indirect Cost of Grant to Ind. Cost Requested from	asts exceed 85,223,192, so 47410 Business Unit urce of Match: ons added: Metro:	6549104 Commercial Paper Proceeds 0.00 \$17,690,094.71		
Fixed Amount of \$ Explanation for "Other" mea This is a direct allocation grant 164,496,000. For this Metro FY, how much is already in department bud is not budgeted? (Indicate Match Amount & So Other: Number of FTEs the grant w Departmental Indirect Cost F*Indirect Costs allowed? *(If "No", please attach docume Draw down allowable	The grant required of the req	Ing match: res a cofunding and local Metro case ring Grant Years % Allow.	mount of 25% or 15,85 h match:	Fund Proposed Sou Actual number of position Indirect Cost of Grant to Ind. Cost Requested from able. See Instructions)	asts exceed 85,223,192, so 47410 Business Unit urce of Match: ons added: Metro:	6549104 Commercial Paper Proceeds 0.00 \$17,690,094.71		
Fixed Amount of \$ Explanation for "Other" mea This is a direct allocation grant 164,496,000. For this Metro FY, how much is already in department bud is not budgeted? (Indicate Match Amount & So Other: Number of FTEs the grant w Departmental Indirect Cost F*Indirect Costs allowed? *(If "No", please attach docume Draw down allowable	The grant required of the req	Ing match: res a cofunding and local Metro case ring Grant Years % Allow.	mount of 25% or 15,85 h match: yes in Budget Below) 0.00 19.10% 0.00% ect costs are not allow:	Fund Proposed Sou Actual number of position Indirect Cost of Grant to Ind. Cost Requested from able. See Instructions)	asts exceed 85,223,192, so 47410 Business Unit urce of Match: ons added: Metro:	6549104 Commercial Paper Proceeds 0.00 \$17,690,094.71		
Fixed Amount of \$ Explanation for "Other" mea This is a direct allocation grant 164,496,000. For this Metro FY, how much is already in department bud is not budgeted? (Indicate Match Amount & So Other: Number of FTEs the grant w Departmental Indirect Cost F*Indirect Costs allowed? *(If "No", please attach docume Draw down allowable	The grant required of the req	Ing match: res a cofunding and local Metro case ring Grant Years % Allow.	mount of 25% or 15,85 h match: yes in Budget Below) 0.00 19.10% 0.00% ect costs are not allow:	Fund Proposed Sou Actual number of positio Indirect Cost of Grant to Ind. Cost Requested from able. See Instructions)	asts exceed 85,223,192, so 47410 Business Unit arce of Match: ons added: Metro: In Grantor:	6549104 Commercial Paper Proceeds 0.00 \$17,690,094.71		

Part Two Grant Budget										
Budget Year	Metro Fiscal Year	Federal Grantor	State Grantor	Other Grantor	Local Match Cash	Match Source (Fund, BU)	Local Match In-Kind	Total Grant Each Year	Indirect Cost to Metro	Ind. Cost Neg. from Grantor
Yr 1	FY24	\$3,202,324.83			\$1,474,465.09	47410, 6549410	\$0.00	\$4,676,789.92	\$893,266.87	\$0.00
Yr 2	FY25	\$31,158,239.00			\$14,346,380.00	47410, 6549410	\$0.00	\$45,504,619.00	\$8,691,382.23	\$0.00
Yr 3	FY 26	\$29,057,681.00			\$13,379,207.00	47410, 6549410	\$0.00	\$42,436,888.00	\$8,105,445.61	\$0.00
Yr 4	FY									\$0.00
Yr 5	FY									
Tot	tal	\$63,418,244.83			\$29,200,052.09			\$92,618,296.92	\$17,690,094.71	
Date Awarded:			Tot. Awarded:	\$0.00	Contract#:	32701-04754				
	(or) Date Denied:			Reason:						
	(or) Date Withdrawn:			Reason:						

Contact: <u>juanita.paulsen@nashville.gov</u> <u>vaughn.wilson@nashville.gov</u>

Rev. 5/13/13 5973 JP

GCP Received 01/14/2025



STATE OF TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND CONSERVATION

Division of Water Resources William R. Snodgrass Tennessee Tower 312 Rosa L. Parks Avenue, 12th Floor Nashville, Tennessee 37243

June 26, 2024

Mayor Freddie O'Connell 100 Metro Courthouse Nashville, TN 37201 United States

RE: Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County

ARP Non-Competitive Grant Contract Change Request

Application ID: 2022-8193 **Project ID:** WW-PDC-1

Dear Mayor Freddie O'Connell,

Thank you for submitting your grant contract change request for Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County. The Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation (TDEC) and the State Water Infrastructure Grants (SWIG) Program have thoroughly reviewed your request detailed below and your request has been **approved.**

Revision Request Type

Re-budget of project between budget line items not to exceed 20%, scope change for project WW-PDC-1, and reduction of the Grantee Match and Total Project amounts. Grantee will still meet the minimum required co-funding percentage.

Revision Request Description Scope and Budget

The Grantee requests to modify the scope of project WW-PDC-1 by removing the scope for Class A Biosolids Facility, Class B Biosolids Facility, and revision to the scope for headworks improvements. Details of the original scope and the revised scope are below. This request also involves the reallocation of project WW-PDC-1 funds within budget line-item details and reduction of both the Grantee Match and Total Project amounts by \$71,877,703.08. Details of the original budget and the revised budget are below. The change order does not require a change to the Grant Contract amount.

Original Scope:

This planning, design, and construction only project at the Dry Creek WRF replaces the current headworks and installs a Class A Biosolids Facility. Dry Creek's ageing headworks system is undersized and in need of replacement. The project also reduces the risk of limited disposal opportunities for class b biosolids and increases the capacity of the biosolids equipment meeting 20-year projections. This plant was originally built in the 1960s with a trickling filter but was rebuilt in 1966 with conventional activated

sludge, and currently serves a population of approximately 141,696. Some of the original equipment proposed for replacement is 43-56 years old and has reached its end of life. Dry Creek WRF is rated at a treatment capacity of 24 MGD average daily with a 63 MGD peak flow. The existing headworks currently receives peak flows of 85 MGD which overloads the Parshall flumes and Grit tanks. This project replaces the existing aerated grit tank with a more efficient grit removal system, adds upstream screening, increases the influent Parshall flume size, and increases the capacity of the headworks system to treat and process projected peak flows.

This project includes the construction of a new class A biosolid facility which replaces of existing thickening and dewatering equipment, refurbished from a 1991 installation. The new biosolids facility will require the replacement of the main switchgear, installed in 1991.

Revised Scope:

This planning, design, and construction only project at the Dry Creek WRF replaces the current headworks. Dry Creek's ageing headworks system is undersized and in need of replacement. This plant was originally built in the 1960s with a trickling filter but was rebuilt in 1966 with conventional activated sludge, and currently serves a population of approximately 141,696. Some of the original equipment proposed for replacement is 43-56 years old and has reached its end of life. Dry Creek WRF is rated at a treatment capacity of 24 MGD average daily with a 63 MGD peak flow. The existing headworks currently receives peak flows of 85 MGD which overloads the Parshall flumes and Grit tanks. This project replaces the existing aerated grit tank with a more efficient grit removal system, adds upstream screening, increases the influent Parshall flume size, and increases the capacity of the headworks system to treat and process projected peak flows.

The headworks improvements will replace the 43–56-year-old aerated grit tanks with stacked tray grit removal and add fine screening to the facility pre-grit. The headworks improvements will have odor control that is routed to an existing biofilter. A high-pressure effluent building is being constructed for the additional pumps needed to support the Headworks Improvements. These are all needed to modernize the aging headworks. Additional capacity will also be added to a Parshall flume and the headworks facilities to provide screening and grit removal before sending any flow that exceeds the plants treatment capacity to EQ.

Original Budget:

Original Budget Line-Item Detail				
Capital Purchase				
Headworks Improvements	\$9,376,104.19			
Sludge Thickening Improvements	\$3,303,997.24			
Digested Sludge Storage Improvements	\$1,422,607.95			
Waste Gast Improvements	\$250,594.89			
New Biosolids Drying Facility	\$30,715,222.91			
New High Pressure Effluent Building	\$2,070,299.32			
Odor Control Improvements	\$1,264,169.94			
Plant Electrical Improvements	\$4,457,077.07			
Exist Chlorination Building Demolition	\$173,488.77			
Filter Building Demolitions	\$100,237.97			
Edenwold Connector Road Relocation	\$393,271.51			
Plant Sitework	\$1,619,228.52			
Contingency (15%)	\$8,271,944.55			
Total Grant Contract	\$63,418,244.83			
Total Grantee Match	\$101,077,755.17			
Total Project	\$164,496,000.00			

Revised Budget:

Revised Budget Line-Item Detail				
Capital Purchase				
Headworks Improvements	\$38,466,877.83			
Digested Sludge Storage Improvements	\$2,928,602.00			
New High Pressure Effluent Building	\$12,869,143.00			
Odor Control Improvements	\$5,745,101.00			
Exist Chlorination Building Demolition	\$54,953.00			
Filter Building Demolitions	\$74,003.00			
Edenwold Connector Road Relocation	\$383,935.00			
Plant Sitework	\$2,895,630.00			
Total Grant Contract	\$63,418,244.83			
Total Grantee Match	\$29,200,052.09			
Total Project	\$92,618,296.92			

Request Approval Status and Next Steps

Your grant contract change request as described above has been approved. Your grant profile in GMS should now reflect the approved updates, and this letter will serve as documentation of the formal request approval. No grant contract amendment is needed at this time. Project implementation is not on hold, and you should continue to submit procurements and reimbursement requests as needed.

If you have any questions concerning this request or your grant, please contact the SWIG team via email at tdec.arp@tn.gov.

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR GRANT NO. 32701-04754 DWR ARP (SWIG)

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have by their duly authorized representatives set their signatures.

METROPOLITAN GOVERNMENT OF NASHVILLE AND DAVIDSON COUNTY

DocuSigned by:	
Scott Potter	1/14/2025
-99467D0AE02B458 Director	Date
Water & Sewer Department	
APPROVED AS TO AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS:	
Jenneen Keed/mfw	1/15/2025 10:40 AM CST
Jenneen Kaufman Reed, Director	Date
Department of Finance	
APPROVED AS TO RISK AND INSURANCE:	
Balogun Cobb	1/15/2025 10:51 AM CST
Director of Risk Management Services	Date
APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY:	
tara ladd	1/15/2025 10:47 AM CST
Metropolitan Attorney	Date
Fraddia O'Cannall	Date
Freddie O'Connell Metropolitan Mayor	Date
FILED:	
Metropolitan Clerk	Date